

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexadria, Vogania 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	09/913,931	08/20/2001	Claude Bortolussi	1999P05602W0US	5688	
	466 7	7590 07/14/2003				
	YOUNG & T	HOMPSON		EXAMINER		
	745 SOUTH 2 ARLINGTON	3RD STREET 2ND FLO , VA 22202	OOR	KOBERT, RUS	SELL MARC	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2829		
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ā				15				
	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summe		913,931	BORTOLUSSI, CL	BORTOLUSSI, CLAUDE				
Office Action Summa	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
-	1	sell M Kobert	2829	! 				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirfy (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication								
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected				,				
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	0			}				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents hav	e been received.		ĺ				
2. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents hav	e been received in A	oplication No	1				
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1-			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(offormal Patent Application (PTO)					

Application/Control Number: 09/913,931

Art Unit: 2829

1. Applicant's Amendment filed on May 5, 2003 presents claims having new issues

Page 2

not previously considered.

2. Currently, claim 20 is dependent on claim 9 and claim 20 appears to be drawn to

a system whereas claim 9 is drawn to a method. For purposes of examination, claim 20

has been grouped along with claims 10-19 drawn to a system.

3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

١. Claims 1-7, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass 126.

H. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to method, classified in class 324, subclass 126.

III. Claims 10-20, drawn to system, classified in class 324, subclass 772.

4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and III in a first set and II in a second set are related as product and

process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the

following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be

practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be

used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the

instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with a

plurality of products as disclosed.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in

this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Art Unit: 2829

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can be used in a system other than one having a triphase motor. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself for its intended purpose or in a different combination.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. A telephone call was made to the Office of the Attorney of Record on July 3, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

ĸ,t

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (703) 308-5222.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mmh Tang 7/10/03

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2829

July 8, 2003